

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,557	05/02/2001		Andrew Varga	YOR920000812US1/I28-0001 5973	
7	1590 08/2	24/2005		EXAMINER	
Philmore H. C		JASMIN, LYNDA C			
Cantor Colburi 55 Griffin Roa		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Bloomfield, C	T 06002		3627		
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/847,557	VARGA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lynda Jasmin	3627				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-15,17-19,21,23-27,29-31 and 3</u>	33-35 is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-9,11-15,17-19,21,23-27,29-31 and 33-35 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 						
8)	_						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/847,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Response received on June 08, 2005 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention. The claims begin by discussing a system, and the body of the claims discusses the specifics of a process. "A claim of this type is precluded by the express language of 35 U.S.C 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only." See MPEP §2173.05(p) II or *Parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (B.P.A.I., 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3627

In claims 1-9, the claims are directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention. It is therefore unclear whether Applicant is claiming a system or a process. See MPEP §2173.05(p) II or *Parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (B.P.A.I., 1990).

In claim 1, the recitation "the plurality of external sources" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 3, the recitation "the review" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claims 34 and 35, the recitations "on the workstation" and "the plurality of external sources" lack proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-9, 11-15, 17-19, 21, 23-27, 29-31 and 33-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beauchesne (6,128,626).

As best understood, Swanson discloses a system for managing parts requirement processes in an engineering environment, having a server (via e-catalog multimedia system) in communication with a workstation (user interface) over a network

(via the internet), the workstation executing a design tool application (such as CAD tools).

A bill of material assist application executing on at least one of the server and the workstation for managing the parts requirements processes (0099).

The bill of material assist application performs: receiving a bill of material including a list of component parts in response to a product design conducted on the workstation (0067), mapping the component part in the list to corresponding part selection process information, the corresponding part selection process information from a plurality of external sources (0107; via an expanded text window providing a SKU listing with filtering and sorting. Clicking on a SKU list item changes the SVG display and the corresponding bill of material list), and generating a summary resulting from the mapping (0082; via a reporting module).

For each of the component parts in the list, the corresponding part selection process information includes a current supply status (0082).

Swanson further discloses a database of part information (108), a database of procurement information, a database of CAD information relating to product designs (as disclose on page 4 via technical feature solution), and a database of approved vendors list (0006).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 11-15, 17-19, 21, 23-27, 29-31, 33-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,557 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nguyen et al. Katz et al. are cited for disclosing a design-assisted application.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (8:00-5:30) alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/847,557 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VPrimary Examiner